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- Take home messages

  - Calf-rearing practices have been studied in recent UBC research. Our findings may change the way you think about some of these practices. For example:
  - Calves kept with the cow for 2 weeks gain weight at more than 3 times the rate of conventionally reared (i.e. early-separated and fed milk at 10% body weight/day) calves.
  - Calves fed as much as they want (ad libitum) drink about twice as much milk but gain weight at twice the rate of conventionally fed calves.
  - Ad libitum teat-fed calves can be successfully reared in small groups.
  - Gradual weaning by diluting milk allows calves to slowly increase starter intake.
  - The pain and distress due to dehorning can be greatly reduced using medications available from your veterinarian.

- Introduction

Rearing the pre-weaned heifer is one of the most challenging tasks on the dairy farm. Young calves are vulnerable to disease, often fail to gain weight and experience high levels of mortality (Heinrichs et al. 1993, 1994, Place et al. 1998).

Recommendations and producer practices vary considerably in several key management areas. For example, the timing of cow-calf separation, the amount of milk that is provided, when and how solid food and water are provided, the ways calves are housed, and the age and methods by which they are weaned and dehorned. Some of these recommendations are provided in recent reviews
written for this series (Brown 1998; Quigley 1997). In this paper I will briefly cover some of the recent work we have been researching at the University of British Columbia. Although much of this work is still in its early stages, I hope that it will get you thinking about some of these issues in new ways. I begin by describing some work on early separation of the calf and cow and then go on to cover other recent research on calf feeding, housing, weaning and dehorning. My focus is on the well being of the calf, but factors that benefit the young replacement heifer will likely be of long-term benefit to the farm.

- **Separation from the cow**

On most North American dairy farms, calves are separated from their mothers within 24 hours of birth and then fed milk by bucket or bottle until 4 to 10 weeks of age. In contrast, under natural conditions cows leave their calves in groups from about 2 weeks of age and usually continue to nurse calves for more than 6 months (Phillips, 1993). Separating cow and calf early is thought to allow for better supervision of colostrum, milk and solid food intake and help prevent transmission of disease. Given that the cow and calf will be separated at some point, early separation is also thought to cause less distress to both parties. In two recent studies we have examined some of the effects of the age of separation on cow and calf behaviour and performance.
In the first experiment (Weary and Chua, 2000), calves were separated at ages that reflect ranges seen in the industry at present (6 hours, 1 day, or 4 days after birth). In the second experiment (Flower and Weary, 2001) we also looked at calves separated 14 days after birth (the time when cows leave calves in groups in the wild). In both experiments we found that cows and calves separated later responded more strongly to the separation in terms of increased activity and more vocalizations. We also found that cows kept with calves yielded less milk at milking. However, yields rebounded after separation such that total yield over the lactation did not differ. Perhaps most importantly, we found that calves separated at 14 days of age took advantage of the extra milk by gaining weight at more than three times the rate of those separated early (Fig. 1), and the calves maintained this weight advantage even after separation. Thus separating calves at later ages does increase their response to separation, but allows calves to grow much faster.
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The most dramatic finding from the cow-calf separation work is the difference in weight gains between the early separation calves and calves kept with the cow for 2 weeks. This work shows how well calves can do in terms of weight gain, or from another perspective, how much room there is for improvement in the way we conventionally raise calves. The question then is how can we change our calf rearing methods to begin to achieve these higher gains? One method might be to keep cow and calf together for longer periods, but more research is needed to find practical ways of achieving this. The most obvious difference between conventional rearing and keeping the calf with the cow, is how the calves consume their milk and the quantities they consume.

The way in which dairy calves are offered milk after separation from their mothers can have marked effects on many aspects of their behaviour, performance and welfare. The most common system is to feed them twice daily from buckets, typically an amount equivalent to 10% of their body weight / day.

Surprisingly there has been very little work on the effects of giving calves additional milk. In one recent experiment, Van Amburgh et al. (1999) were able to achieve dramatically different weight gains by feeding calves different amounts of milk by bucket, 3 times per day. These improved weight gains translated into much improved gain to feed ratios. Thus feeding larger quantities by bucket would seem to have important advantages.

Rather than providing milk from a bucket, a teat allows calves to drink in a more natural manner. In addition, calves fed from an artificial teat tend not to suck on each other or on objects, unlike calves fed from a bucket (e.g. Bøe and Havrevoll 1993). Primarily, this increases overall feeding time, especially if a teat with a small orifice is used (Haley et al., 1998). We have found that calves fed ad libitum by nipple spend approximately 45 min per day drinking milk, compared to just a few minutes per day for bucket-fed calves.

In a couple of experiments we have tested the effects of feeding calves ad libitum by teat. In each experiment we compared weight gain, milk intake, starter intake and number of days with diarrhea for calves fed milk conventionally (i.e. twice daily by bucket at 10% of body weight per day) versus ad libitum from a teat. In the first experiment we found that weight gains during the first 2 weeks of life were less than 0.4 kg/d for the conventionally-fed calves versus 0.85 kg/d for the teat-fed ones. During the next 2 weeks gains were 0.58 and 0.79 kg/d respectively. In a second experiment we replicated these findings and showed that the teat-fed calves maintained this advantage in body weight after weaning at 5-6 weeks of age. In both experiments the differences in weight gain were likely due to teat-fed calves drinking approximately twice as much milk as the conventionally-fed calves. Van Amburgh et al. (1999) provide
some economic analysis on the beneficial effects of these improved early weight gains for the pre-weaned calf.

It is commonly thought that calves should be encouraged to increase their consumption of starter at an early age. We found that over the first 5 weeks of life, feeding calves less milk did increase starter consumption (Fig. 2) but also severely limited weight gains. Moreover, we have found that the larger (nipple-fed) calves quickly catch up to and indeed surpassed the conventionally-fed calves in their intake of starter after weaning. Thus feeding more milk to calves during the first 5 weeks of life seems to have a number of benefits.

**Milk feeding:**
- Conventional
- Ad libitum

**Figure 2.** Mean intake of calf starter in relation to calf age. Values are shown separately for calves fed milk conventionally (10% of body weight per day by bucket; \(n=10\)) or ad libitum by teat \((n=10)\).

- **Group versus Individual Housing**

North American dairy calves are normally housed in individual pens or calf hutches until weaning, and this type of housing is often recommended (e.g.
Weary, 1997). The preference for individual rearing stems from the idea that rearing calves individually results in higher weight gains or a lower incidence of disease, and that it may reduce behavioural problems such as cross-sucking. However, calves are social animals and keeping dairy calves in groups may provide a number of advantages to both producers and their calves. For example, group rearing allows for early social interactions that are important in the development of normal social behaviour. Group housing provides greater access to space, that together with social contact, facilitates the expression of normal behaviour. Group rearing can also reduce the labour of cleaning pens and feeding (Kung et al., 1997).

The success of a group rearing system may depend on several factors, including the feeding method and the number of animals in the group. In one recent experiment we looked at the effect of forming the most rudimentary group possible, a pair. We fed milk ad libitum by teat to both individually-housed and pair-housed calves and found that all calves remained healthy and gained weight rapidly before and after weaning. Indeed, we found no difference in weight gains between treatment groups except when calves were being weaned. During this week, individually-penned calves lost weight but the pair-housed calves continued to gain weight at pre-weaning levels. We observed no signs of disease except diarrhoea. The incidence of this condition was low and did not differ between the housing treatments.

The lack of effect of pairing on disease is not surprising. Individual housing will have little effect on air-borne pathogens, and contact between calves can still occurs between adjacent pens. Thus management (e.g. cleanliness and ventilation) and calf immunity may play a more important role in disease susceptibility than housing or feeding system. The importance of these other factors may explain the variability in results of earlier studies on group housing (Maatje et al., 1993; Tomkins, 1991; Thickett et al., 1983). Our work shows that housing young dairy calves in small groups is viable in terms of calf health, performance and behaviour.

Weaning onto Solid Food

Under natural conditions calf weaning is a gradual process with calves often continuing to receive some milk from the cow until they are over 6 months old. During this time the amount of milk consumed slowly declines as the calf becomes established on solid food. Calves on dairy farms are typically weaned from milk at 1-3 months of age, a time when they are still consuming significant quantities. This more abrupt transition can be distressing to the calf and can result in a growth check.

To ease the transition to solid food, some producers gradually restrict milk intake relative to body weight by capping the milk ration as calves continue to
grow. Others reduce the size of the daily milk ration, for example, from two meals to one meal per day. Still others achieve a gradual reduction in milk intake by providing the same volume of liquid, but diluting the milk with water.

To determine the effectiveness of gradual milk restriction on ease of weaning, we compared calves that were weaned abruptly with those that were weaned more gradually by diluting the milk ration with water. Calves were fed milk at 10% of body weight per day. The abruptly weaned group was continued on this ration until 8 weeks of age. For the gradual milk restriction group, milk was increasingly diluted with water (3.6% per day) starting at 5 weeks of age. Gradually weaned calves ate significantly more starter than the abruptly weaned during weeks 5 to 8. After week 5 the increased consumption of starter compensated for the reduced milk ration provided to the gradually weaned calves, such that total dry matter and protein intakes were nearly identical in the two groups. Weight gains were lower for the gradually weaned calves at week 7, but there was no difference in gains between the two groups by week 8. Another interesting aspect of this gradual weaning method is that calves show little behavioural response to the dilution; they continue to drink the diluted milk, even when it has been diluted to 100% water, and drank it in much the same way as they drank milk from a bucket. This lack of response contrasts with the increased vocalizations and activity that usually accompanies abrupt weaning. In other work we have diluted milk more rapidly, from 100% milk to 100% water over 5 days, with similar success. We have also successfully incorporated gradual weaning with weaning at younger ages and with ad libitum feeding.

### Dehorning

Horn buds of young dairy calves are normally removed to reduce the risk of injuries to other cattle and farm workers that can occur once horns have developed. Although necessary, this is an unpleasant procedure to perform and causes considerable pain to the calf. In this section I will review some of recent work showing how the pain and stress associated with dehorning can be reduced.

The horns of calves more than 3 months of age are normally removed surgically using a number of techniques (e.g. scooping, shearing and sawing), and physiological responses indicate that these procedures are painful (Sylvester et al., 1998). It is generally recommended that dehorning be conducted when the calf is less than 3 months old. Horn buds of younger calves are typically removed using caustic paste or a hot iron, but the latter is more commonly used on dairy calves. There is good evidence that both methods are painful (Morisse et al., 1995).

Even when the procedure is carried out at an early age, hot-iron dehorning causes a pronounced behavioural response such that significant physical
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restraint is necessary to carry out the procedure. Increased levels of circulating "stress hormones" (corticosteroids) are commonly detected after dehorning (e.g. McMeekan et al., 1998b), although administration of a local nerve block dampens this initial increase. Local anesthetic also reduces behaviours associated with the immediate pain response (e.g. tail wagging, head movements, tripping and rearing) and those indicative of post-operative pain (head rubbing, head shaking and ear flicking) (e.g. Graf and Senn, 1999; McMeekan et al., 1999).

Although local anesthetics are effective in reducing the immediate pain after dehorning, the use of local anaesthetic alone may not be enough for at least two reasons. The first of these is that calves respond to both the pain of the procedure and to the physical restraint. Calves dehorned using a local anaesthetic still require restraint and the difference in the behavioral response between treated and untreated calves can be so subtle that it is difficult for observers to judge if nerve blockage was achieved. Calves must also be restrained while the local anaesthetic is administered, as well as during the actual dehorning. Thus calves experience the distress associated with restraint on two occasions, and still may not receive an adequate nerve block. The use of a sedative (such as xylazine) can essentially eliminate calf response to the administration of the local anaesthetic and the need for physical restraint during the entire dehorning process (Grøndahl-Nielsen et al., 1999). A sedative makes it easier to accurately deliver the nerve block, and the lack of restraint makes dehorning much easier for a single worker.

A second unsatisfactory aspect is that local anaesthetic alone does not provide adequate post-operative pain relief. The most popular local anaesthetic, lidocaine, is effective for only 2 to 3 h after administration (McMeekan et al., 1998b). Indeed, the results of recent studies indicate that local anaesthetic treated calves actually experience higher corticosteroid levels than untreated animals after the local anaesthetic loses its effectiveness (e.g. Graf and Senn, 1999; McMeekan et al., 1998a; b). The use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (such as ketoprofen - similar to the ibuprofen you may take for a headache), in addition to a local anaesthetic, can keep stress hormones and behavioural responses close to baseline levels in the hours that follow dehorning (McMeekan et al., 1998b; 1999; Faulkner & Weary, 2000).

For example, in one recent experiment (Faulkner & Weary, 2000) we dehorned calves with a hot iron, and all calves were initially sedated with xylazine and received a lidocaine nerve block. To determine the extent of post-operative pain and how this could be treated half of the calves were also given ketoprofen in their milk meals. We found that calves treated with ketoprofen showed little head shaking, ear flicking and head rubbing after hot-iron dehorning, but calves that received no ketoprofen showed much higher frequencies of these pain-related behaviors (remember that all animals received the sedative and nerve block). As illustrated in Figure 3, the magnitude of this effect varied with time...
after dehorning. Calves treated with ketoprofen showed almost no head shaking throughout the 24 hours following dehorning. Calves that did not receive the ketoprofen were frequently observed head shaking, with response peaking 6 hours after dehorning.

![Graph showing mean number of head shakes of calves dehorned as controls (empty bars, n=10) or with ketoprofen (filled bars, n=10). All animals received a sedative and nerve block before dehorning. Results are shown in relation to time after the dehorning (from Faulkner and Weary, 2000).](image)

We therefore recommend that dairy producers, in consultation with their veterinarians, consider a combination of treatments for calves being dehorned. The use of a sedative allows for careful administration of the local anaesthetic, with no response by the calf. The combination of sedative and local anaesthetic allows for dehorning with no immediate pain response. The combination of sedative, local anaesthetic and a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug reduces the response to the pain both during dehorning and in the hours that follow.

- **Conclusions**

New research at the University of British Columbia has focused on some important issues in calf management. Results of this work may help us to rethink certain existing practices. For example, keeping the calf together with the cow for longer periods illustrates how well calves can do and how much
room we have for improving the ways we conventionally rear calves. When calves are given the chance to drink more milk, either from the cow or from an artificial teat, they show impressive weight gains that persist after weaning. Feeding calves by teat facilitates keeping calves in small groups, a practice that may have advantages for both the calf and the producer. When calves are weaned this can be done more gradually by watering down the milk. The pain and distress of dehorning can be much reduced using medications available from your veterinarian.
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